UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,030	03/22/2006	David S. Garvey	0102258.00175US2	4436
24395 WILMERHAL	03/22/2006 David S. Garvey 0102258.00175US2 4436 00 06/02/2009 EXAMINER VANIA AVE., NW WARD, PAUL V			
1875 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE., NW		WARD, PAUL V	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com deborah.lee@wilmerhale.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/573,030	GARVEY ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PAUL V. WARD	1624				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>PAUL V. WARD</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Belinda M Lew</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 28 May 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,2,4-6 and 27</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner informed applicant that applicant claims 1,2, 4-6 and 27 were allowable if applicant removed tables from the claims, insert the term "pharmaceuctical" in front of the term composition in claim 2. Additionally, in claim 1, in regards to the definition of Re and Rf, these are classes of compounds that are present. These are moclecules and not radicals (i.e., groups) with no points of attachment. These need to be presented as their respective radicals.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
(DALII AVAMADD)						
/PAUL V WARD/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624						